

The Australian Government Lie



A Republican Takeover

The Commonwealth of Australia was founded on the Laws of England, although the Constitution itself was formed by men on the lands of Australia through debates of Federation in major capital cities around the country. The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA was Proclaimed and Gazetted as the Unity of a People into Self Governance and Self Determination.

The Australian Government since 1939 has attempted to defraud the people of its rights and this short paper intends to bring discussion on this direct and now apparent erosion of the people's rights in the Commonwealth of Australia and their lack of knowledge of what ANZAC gave them in Self Determination.

The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 was proclaimed and gazetted and the Commonwealth of Australia as a body of people came into fruition on the 1st January 1901. At this inception the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 had engrained in it already the foundations of 1000 years of the Laws of England which are formed out of the Faith of the Church of England and the Authorised King James Bible 1611.

The Preamble to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA forms a part of the Act [1] and details the Line of Authority at which the Constitution finds its Standing. We can see this in the first line of the Preamble.

"WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established"

The Australian Government Lie

We can see in the Annotated Constitution by Quick and Garren[2] that the Preamble is clearly discussed and defined down to its very specific points, and it cannot be ignored that this defined a line of authority under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, also noting the Constitution in question being hereby established.

AFFIRMATIONS OF THE PREAMBLE.—It will be noticed that the preamble to this Constitution contains no less than eight separate and distinct affirmations or declarations.

- (i.) The agreement of the people of Australia.
- (ii.) Their reliance on the blessing of Almighty God.
- (iii.) The purpose to unite.
- (iv.) The character of the Union—indissoluble.
- (v.) The form of the Union—a Federal Commonwealth.
- (vi.) The dependence of the Union—under the Crown.
- (vii.) The government of the Union—under the Constitution.
- (viii.) The expediency of provision for admission of other Colonies as States.

Of the above eight declaratory parts of the preamble only four, viz., the third, fifth, seventh, and eighth, find legislative expression in identifiable clauses to be found in the body of the Act. The remaining four have, therefore, to be regarded as promulgating principles, ideas, or sentiments operating, at the time of the formation of the instrument, in the minds of its framers, and by them imparted to and approved by the people to whom it was submitted. These principles may hereafter become of supreme interest and importance in guiding the development of the Constitution under the influence of Federal Statesmen and Federal Electors.

As a part of the creation of the Commonwealth of Australia, a foundation of boundaries was formed for the running of Parliament, Executive Government and the Judiciary. The Judiciary has in its foundations the High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia formed in Sections 71 through 73 of the Constitution found at Clause 9 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

“Section 71 - The judicial power of the Commonwealth shall be vested in a Federal Supreme Court, to be called the High Court of Australia, and in such other federal courts as the Parliament creates, and in such other courts as it invests with federal jurisdiction. The High Court shall consist of a Chief Justice, and so many other Justices, not less than two, as the Parliament prescribes.”


The High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia was implemented and runs under High Court Rules which implements the foundations in the Laws of England through High Court Rules Part 5(a).

High Court Rules states at Part 5(a):

that an Act means an Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland which is in force in the Commonwealth of Australia or in part of the Commonwealth.

For instance, the Colonial Boundaries Act was repealed removing all State Boundaries under Clause 8 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA making it a law no longer in force.

The Australian Government Lie



High Court Rules 1954

As a part of the High Court Rules, Section 5(a) now by default includes the Foundations in the Laws of England. Which through the Preamble of the Constitution Act we can also see that there is a line of Authority under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland yet also a blessing on Almighty God linking our foundations to the Spiritual Realms of the Constitution.

We all know that a Queen through a Coronation process took a Bible by hand and become the Head of State and Queen of Australia after processing Royal Powers Act 1954. We can see a spiritual process by the sheer fact that the Head of State is ascending to power through a church process before Archbishops of England and Scotland.

It is also through the application of the Laws that we can see this link as well.

The History of England saw major change in its Monarchy through Anglo-Dutch Wars [4] of Aggression for the control of shipping lanes which led to a Glorious Revolution [5] in 1688 and gave England back to the people. In essence this was a Protestant William

The Australian Government Lie

III reclaiming England from the Papists who had taken control under Charles I whom was beheaded for the crimes against the people.

Oliver Cromwell saw a need to protect the realm, leading to the Commonwealth of England [6] and the theft and destruction of the St Edwards Crown of the Coronation twice.

The removal of the Papist Monarchy and the Vatican from influence in England had taken hundreds of years. Henry VIII had extirpated the Head of Rome in England by creating the Church of England [7] in 1536 under the See of Rome Act and forming a foundation in which James I of England would found a "New World". [8]

Under High Court Rules 5(a), and also within Imperial Acts Applications Acts today, there are several foundations of law that form the Laws of England as we know them today at which were in force upon the creation of the Commonwealth of Australia and subsequently its High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia.

Within these Acts are shown the direct links to the Laws of England, the Protestant Faith and the Authorised King James Bible 1611 being the Faith of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

Two major Acts came out of the ousting of the last Catholic King of England in 1688 starting with the Bill of Rights 1688 which had started as the Petition of Rights in 1628 under Charles I (whom was beheaded) and the second being the Act of Settlement 1700 defining the lineage of the Monarchy of the Kingdom.

Both of these Acts apply under High Court Rules Part 5(a) as being a law of England in force at the creation of the Commonwealth of Australia, and part of the foundation of law that became the jurisdiction of Self Governance under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution.

Imperial Acts Applications Acts of the States of the Commonwealth of Australia demonstrate that the Petition of Rights 1629, Bill of Rights 1688 and Act of Settlement 1700 are in full force within the States [9] and form the Law of England in full force under High Court Rules 5(a).

When the Monarch takes Command of the Kingdom during the Coronation Process, an Oath is taken tying the Monarch through the Royal Law in the Scriptures (James 2:8), and the Monarch then becomes Head of State according to that Royal Law.

In the King James Bible 1611 the introduction to The Most High and Mighty Prince James details the link of the Bible to England which, as already demonstrated links to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act by way of the blessing of Almighty God under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

The Australian Government Lie

Great and manifold were the blessings (most dread Sovereigne) which Almighty GOD, the Father of all Mercies, bestowed upon us the people of ENGLAND, when first he sent your Majesties Royall person to rule and raigne over us

We can see when we look at the Act of Settlement 1700 [11] that the actions of William III of Orange, Protestant King of England in 1689 returned the rule of law back to the people and redefined the lineage of the Monarchy to exclude Papist Catholics post the actions of Charles I, Charles II and James II.

And it was thereby further enacted That all and every Person and Persons that then were or afterwards should be reconciled to or shall hold Communion with the See or Church of Rome or should professe the Popish Religion... should be excluded and are by that Act made for ever incapable to inherit possess or enjoy the Crown

We can also see when we look at the Bill of Rights 1688 [12] that the actions of William III were with full support of the people, none having spoken against his actions, and that the King speaks on behalf the peoples Estates.

Whereas the Lords Spirituall and Temporall and Comons assembled at Westminster lawfully fully and freely representing all the Estates of the People of this Realme

The Bill of Rights came about through the disregard of Ministers and Judges under Oath of Allegiance to the Gods of their Fathers (Judges 2:12) of the Laws of England.

Whereas the late King James the Second by the Assistance of diverse evill Councillors Judges and Ministers imployed by him did endeavour to subvert and extirpate the Protestant Religion and the Lawes and Liberties of this Kingdome.

Here we can see the accusation against James II a Papist Monarchy having evil practice in Counsel, Judiciary and Ministerial Employment and that they had a direct will to subvert the foundations of England.

This paper will show you how the current Australian Government has ignored your foundational laws and repeated in the same fashion the evils of James II upon England at which the Bill of Rights was implemented in 1688 some 50 years after the people of England issued a Petition of Right to then Papist Monarch Charles I, and how this remains valid through High Court Rules 5(a) within the Commonwealth of Australia,

It cannot be understated that the Commonwealth of Australia in its Preamble to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA defines a Line of Authority in a Christian Protestant Faith under the Imperial Crown. Forefathers acted in the Spirit of the Law, and through Quick and Garren's Annotated Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia attempted to ensure that the people would not forget that the Royal Laws in the Scripture formed the basis for the Spirit of that Law.

The Australian Government Lie

'The Commonwealth of Australia will be, from its first stage, a Christian Commonwealth.' – Sir John Downer. [13]

Christian traditions also came to this nation through the English legal system. At the time of English settlement in Australia, Christianity formed an integral part of the theory of English law and civil government. Published between 1903 and 1966 and eventually comprising 17 volumes, in his seminal 'A History of English Law' Sir William Holdsworth expressed the traditional view of the close relationship between Christianity and English law:

Christianity is part and parcel of the common law of England, and therefore is to be protected by it; now whatever strikes at the very root of Christianity tends manifestly to dissolution of civil government.

We can see here that the High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia finds the foundations of its laws in the Royal Law being the Scripture (James 2:8) and that through High Court Rules 5(a) embraced part and parcel the Laws of England which are founded on Christian Traditions.

This is linked through the Constitution Act July 1900 UK, the Preamble to said Act, the fact that it is contractually under the blessing of Almighty God, bringing the Act of Settlement 1700 and Bill of Rights 1688 into the High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia as per Section 73 of the Constitution at Clause 9 of the Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

John Howard Prime Minister of "Australia" from 1996 to 2007 openly portrayed that "Australia" did not have a Bill of Rights and that recognising one would diminish the "reputation" and "accountability of Parliament.

A Bill of rights would erode Australia's democracy, diminish the reputation and accountability of Parliament, politicise the judiciary and represent the "final triumph of elitism in Australian politics", the former prime minister John Howard said last night. [14]

If any man truly committed acts of Treason, Treachery, Fraud and War Crimes against the Commonwealth of Australia as per King Vs Casement 1917 [15], this man did.

To undermine the very fabric of the foundations of the Commonwealth of Australia is a Criminal Offence under the Crimes Act 1914 and forms the foundation of Case Law in King v Casement 1917 on the actions of Treason to the Realm.

John Howard has openly pushed to turn Australia into a Republic post Robert Menzies Era World War 2 wherein the Line of Authority of the Commonwealth of Australia was fractured in declarations of War. John Howard has publicly spoken about, written about and published quite a list of books openly expressing his views to undermine the fabric of our Commonwealth and replace it with a Republican Model.

The Australian Government Lie

Mr Howard has long opposed a bill of rights. He said ministers and parliamentarians should make all the controversial decisions transparently and be accountable for them.

"A bill of rights would further diminish the prestige of Parliament, it would politicise the appointment of judges, it would increase the volume of litigation and it would not increase the rights and protections now available to Australian citizens," Mr Howard said. [14]

Under John Howard's leadership, the then Prime Minister also attempted to get the people of the Commonwealth of Australia to accept a Referendum which was denied by the people relative to a change in the Line of Authority of the Commonwealth of Australia under the Australian Imperial Crown.

John Howard's proposals saw the request to introduce a Preamble to the "Australian Constitution". This in itself high lights the fact that they are not operating under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and have introduced an "Australian Constitution".

In 1999 the Howard Liberal Government put to the people of the Commonwealth of Australia two questions which did not fit together. The then Government asked the people in a referendum [16] if they would embrace and take on the plans for Howards Republican Model. This would have negated a need to have a preamble for a Constitution, replacing the Constitutional Model with a Republican Model, which is why it is strange that the second question on the same Referendum was asking for the addition of a Preamble to the "Australian Constitution".

It is clear that the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA is formed by an Act leading with a Preamble and the Constitution itself is found under Clause 9 of the Act, the leading Preamble and 8 Clauses set the foundation and Line of Authority in the Constitution found at Clause 9.

John Howard firstly sought to undermine the Commonwealth of Australia under 24AA of the Crimes Act 1914 in an Act of Treachery by continually and openly writing about his plans to undermine the Commonwealth, in papers, speeches, books and eventually by referendum. Others follow in his footsteps such as Scott Morrison Prime Minister and opposition Leader Bill Shorten in 2019.

This openly demonstrates that the agenda of the two party preferred system has been to undermine the foundation of the very laws that hold sacred the core of our Commonwealth of Australia and now enters into the Realms of Treason to the Australian Imperial Crown.

It is clear that successive Governments since the era of Robert Menzies in 1939 have attempted to undermine the very fabric of the society of the Commonwealth of Australia

The Australian Government Lie

starting with Robert Menzies openly through the Office of Prime Minister telling the people of Australia to fly their Red Ensign was “an Act of Communism” and did purposely attempt to defraud the spirit of a nation in its foundations.

It is very clear that the current “Australian Government” have subverted the rights of the People of the Commonwealth of Australia and purposefully attempted to undermine its foundations at law and in the process purposefully ignore the foundations of the Laws of England within the High Court.

It can be shown by Treaty in 1939 that the Australian Government under Robert Menzies sought to undermine the Nationality of the Commonwealth of Australia and through State Education and Curriculums began to educate new “Australians” with a different World View. [18].

And it is in this world view that we look at the Bill of Rights 1688 through High Court Rules 5(a) linking the Realms Imperial, the Act of Settlement 1700, the Authorised King James Bible 1611 and its use to give a Monarch the powers of Head of State.

And in this we can see that a Republican model has been pushed silently on a sleeping population. In ignoring the Bill of Rights 1688, linked through current Imperial Acts Application Acts of the states shows the Government to be in Treason of the Peoples Estates as expressed under the Bill of Rights 1688 and the Act of Settlement 1700 both of which still have force of law within the Commonwealth of Australia.

In making Diplomatic Relations with the Vatican in 1973, as well as signing the Treaty of Rome in 1973, the Australian Government has allowed the Papist Faith to infiltrate the Commonwealth of Australia at its Christian Foundation. This is in direct conflict with the Laws of England and the foundations of the Commonwealth of Australia and is considered to be an Act of Treason under King v Casement 1917.

John Howard is therefore guilty of the most heinous crimes that can be perpetrated on the Commonwealth of Australia and is accused of Treason, Treachery, Fraud, Undermining the Faith, and as will be shown has disregarded every Right honoured through the Laws of England and has disregarded the Line of Authority in the Preamble to the Constitution Act and is accused of committing an Act of Attainted Treason by knowingly taking an Oath of Allegiance outside of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

John Howard is party to a longer running evil that has possessed the population in general. When we look specifically at the Bill of Rights 1688 we can see that John Howard is the main contributing factor to the loss of your rights, and has openly taken them from you disregarding the Commonwealth of Australia and its foundations along with others in a bid to replace them with a Republican Model.

The Australian Government Lie

Subsequent Liberal and Labour governments have followed in the footsteps of the changes to the Commonwealth of Australia under Gough Whitlam in the creation of a Nation State of Australia, Trust Territory to the United Nations. We can see the Commonwealth of Australia's involvement in the United Nations by installation of Food Control Acts in November and December of 1944 [19][20], strangely enough eight months before the formal formation of the United Nations itself.[21]

Ever since the changes to the Office of Governor General under Letters Patent 1984 [22] which replaced the Line of Authority detailed in Letters Patent 1900 [23], the Australian Government has attempted to find ways to subvert the Bill of Rights engrained in the Laws of the Commonwealth of Australia under the Bill of Rights 1688.

Legal Institutes have argued over the lack of a Bill of Rights since the change in the Line of Authority in the Governor Generals Office and the removal of his powers as Commander in Chief through those Letters Patent.

The Bill of Rights Debate in Australian Political Culture" [2003] AUJLHRights 6 [24]

1983-84

Attorney-General Gareth Evans announced the Government's plans to enact a statutory Bill of Rights, and privately circulated a draft Bill to certain individuals. Against Evans' wishes, the Bill was made public by the Queensland Premier, Sir Joh Bjelke-Petersen, in the lead-up to the 1984 election, and opponents exploited the issue. After Labor won the election, Evans' Bill of Rights was abandoned when Lionel Bowen replaced him as Attorney-General.

1985-86

In October 1985, Bowen introduced the Australian Bill of Rights Bill into Parliament. Like the 1973 Bill, it was based on the ICCPR. It was significantly weaker than the Evans Bill.⁶ After a lengthy public debate the Government was unable to secure majority support in the Senate, and the Bill was withdrawn on 19 August 1986.

1988

The Hawke Government held a referendum, proposing the insertion of a small number of rights into the Constitution. The proposals included the right to vote, a guarantee of 'one vote, one value', and the extension to the States of the Constitutional guarantees of the right to jury trial, freedom of religion and acquisition of property on just terms (Bowen, HOR, 10 May 1988: 2388-2390). The rights proposal received the greatest defeat of any referendum proposal since Federation, with a 31 per cent 'Yes' vote (Galligan 1990: 363).

The Australian Government Lie

We can see here that the Australian Government has attempted to subvert the Laws of England through High Court Rules 5(a) and the foundations in the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA Preamble by formulating Statutory Rules, a Statutory Bill of Rights.

In 1973 the “Australian” Government became party to Human Rights agreements in the ICCPR [25] which forms the basis for “Human Rights” within the Nation State of “Australia”.

It is clear that both parties of the two party preferred system have hoodwinked Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown out of their Birth Right as detailed in the Act of Settlement 1700 and pushed them into Citizenship denying them their Billed Rights, replaced with Human Rights linked to the United Nations.

It will be surprising for many to find out that the “Australian Government” has denied, perverted, disrupted, derogated, attempted to extirpate the Line of Authority in the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 for many generations.

The Bill of Rights 1688 and the Act of Settlement 1700

The Bill of Rights came out of a long standing Petition of Right 1629 that was put before Charles I, a Catholic King that took the throne of England. Charles was beheaded in the main square in front of the Houses of Parliament for his actions against the people of England. Charles II attempted to regain control of the Kingdom, and eventually James II was ousted by the Protestant William III after long standing battles for Shipping Lanes of the Northern World.

We will see that a Catholic Faith had impacted on England after it had pushed that Catholic Faith out of England and that in Modern History we see a repeat of these actions some 300 years later.

As we go through the Bill of Rights, we will look at the current State of Affairs of the Affairs of State within the Commonwealth of Australia and its supposed “Australian government”. We will reference current events in relation to the Crimes of State at which former Prime Ministers are *Inn Cahoots* putting the Federal Executive Council in a position to answer the people of the Commonwealth of Australia, and for the Chief Justices of the High Court to respond to accusation of a fracture in the Line of Authority to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

We will address the “Australian” Government’s actions in relation to the Bill of Rights and their failures to address the Preamble, and the undermining of the Commonwealth of Australia according to the Royal Laws.

Even though Australia is party to several major human rights treaties, there is currently no Commonwealth legislation which directly implements two of the most important treaties, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

The Australian Government Lie

and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). Together with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, these treaties and their Optional Protocols, make up what is known as the International Bill of Human Rights. Such implementing legislation is usually referred to as a 'human rights act', or a 'charter (or bill) of rights'—a statement of rights which is either reviewable (or even enforceable) in the courts and against which all newly introduced legislation has to be benchmarked. The ACT and Victoria, however, have implemented the ICCPR by legislation in their jurisdictions. The Commonwealth Constitution has only a small number of enforceable human rights, such as freedom of religion, as well as some implied rights found by the High Court, such as freedom of political communication. [25]

We ask why the “Australian Government” ignores the Bill of Rights 1688. Lets delve a little deeper and look at the Bill of Rights itself.

Bill of Rights 1688 CHAPTER 2 1 Will and Mar Sess 2 - An Act declareing the Rights and Liberties of the Subject and Setleing the Succession of the Crowne. [12]

We can see from the Long Title that the act related to the Rights and Liberties of the Subject of the Australian Imperial Crown as well as the relative Succession of the Monarchy to that Crown.

Whereas the Lords Spirituall and Temporall and Comons assembled at Westminster lawfully fully and freely representing all the Estates of the People of this Realme did upon the thirteenth day of February in the yeare of our Lord one thousand six hundred eighty eight present unto their Majesties then called and known by the Names and Stile of William and Mary Prince and Princesse of Orange being present in their proper Persons a certaine Declaration in Writeing made by the said Lords and Comons in the Words following viz

The Houses of the Parliament gathered, lawfully, fully and freely, meaning that all who were able to made their presence in the Parliament to, of their free will, pass by Royal Assent the Bill of Rights. In doing this they showed a free and proper representation of the Estates of the People of the Realm.

This in itself is an important statement as it demonstrates that the Estate are the Peoples, and that a jurisdiction is in place being the Realm and that the People of the Realm form the Lords Spiritual, Temporal and Commons being assembled at Westminster in a lawful capacity.

The Heads of Declaration of Lords and Commons, recited.

Whereas the late King James the Second by the Assistance of diverse evill Councillors Judges and Ministers employed by him did endeavour to subvert and extirpate the Protestant Religion and the Lawes and Liberties of this Kingdome.

The Australian Government Lie

As we have shown James II was ousted from England in a Glorious Revolution 1688. His practices as a Papist King are noted to have allowed for Evil Counsel, Judiciary and Ministerial Employment. The Bill of Rights was formed because the Government was subverting justice, and attempting to undermine the very Christian Protestant Foundation in the Church of England.

We have shown that John Howard did attempt to put before the people a Referendum 1999 to subvert the foundations of the Realm and that in that Referendum, the people making choice to accept either a Preamble, or a Republic would have allowed John Howards Liberal Government to perform Act of Treason against the Kings Realms.

This continues to this day in 2019 with Scott Morrison Prime Minister and Liberal Party Member as well as Opposition Leader Bill Shorten and Labour Party Member both attempting to undermine and defraud the people out of a Line of Authority linked to their ANZAC.

Dispensing and Suspending Power.

By Assumeing and Exerciseing a Power of Dispensing with and Suspending of Lawes and the Execution of Lawes without Consent of Parlyament.

Under the "Australian Government" the suspension of cores rights and freedoms have already taken place in that *Habeus Corpus* now comes under Civil Procedure Rules forming the process of all forms and submissions to Courts and Government which are linked to the Treaties with Rome in 1973 allowing Papist Rules to be used in the Commonwealth of Australia.

The people's right of assembly has also been removed under laws such as Move on Powers of Police, and more serious laws such as the Vicious Lawless Dis-association Laws (VLAD) that has put Bikie Groups into the firing line.


We can see this from the change in the Office of Prime Minister and the Department of the Cabinet when they were both closed and ceased to exist in 1971 and were replaced with the Federal Executive Counsel.

Committing Prelates.

By Committing and Prosecuting diverse Worthy Prelates for humbly Petitioning to be excused from Concurring to the said Assumed Power.

The Australian Government has allowed Papist Leaders to take positions of Authority and allowed the Church to perform its acts of treachery upon protestant and native soils and has allowed the Vatican and Papal Canon Law to run within the Realm unchecked and without Legal Person, in other words, the Catholic Church in Australia is not a legal entity and therefore cannot be sued, and no recourse through the Royal House can be made against them. [27]

The Australian Government Lie



In contrast the Anglican Church of the Commonwealth of Australia was corporatized in 1966 and is founded in the Corporations Act 1989 and 2001 [28] meaning that it is a legal entity that can be sued at law. This is relative to the Currency Act 1965 but outside of the scope of this paper.

A Catholic Church under Diplomatic Relations with the Commonwealth of Australia has been allowed to operate in the Commonwealth of Australia as an ecclesiastical entity whilst making the Foundation in your Church subordinate to the Corporations Acts yet also through Treaty enforced the operations of its laws within your Courts and Public Offices.

A Catholic Churches Bishops act as the Fathers of Cities within the Commonwealth of Australia, and has also been published in Newspapers as being the Father of the City subverting the powers of Prayer and Ministration by the de jure Anglican Church in Australia.

Ecclesiastical Commission.

By issuing and causing to be executed a Commission under the Great Seale for Erecting a Court called The Court of Commissioners for Ecclesiasticall Causes.

The Australian Government Lie

By installing the Statute of Westminster Act 1942 post actions of Prime Minister “communist flag” Robert Menzies, the “Australian” Government did open the doors for the Australia Act 1986. The “Australian” Government did then also allow requests for actions to be sent to England who had severed the Commonwealth in Law in the Statute of Westminster 1931 UK.

The use of Foreign Coats of Arms within the Court Rooms of the States of the Commonwealth of Australia, along with Uniform Civil Procedure Rules out of the Treaties of Rome in 1973 have allowed a foreign ecclesiastical to confound the Oath of Allegiance of Subjects within Courts.

Unaware of their Biblical Roots, and their Rights as Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown persons are tricked to confound their oath going against the Royal Law (James 2:8)

Persons are tricked into serving two masters going against Matthew 6:24 by way of not comprehending their Oath of Allegiance when asked to Swear on Gods (Judges 2:12) therefore confounding their words from a former Oath taken. Matthew 5:33-37, James 5:12.

Levying Money.

By Levying Money for and to the Use of the Crowne by pretence of Prerogative for other time and in other manner then the same was granted by Parlyament.

The “Australian” Government did form the Australian Tax Office, and did inflict the taking or levying of monies from Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown against their will. The “Australian” Government then did enter Wars of Aggression off the people’s backs including entering Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Vietnam and World War II going against the Laws of the Estate and the Line of Authority in the Australian Imperial Crown.

The “Australian” Government does continue to levy monies from the People for use by the supposed ‘Crown in Right’.

Standing Army.

By raising and keeping a Standing Army within this Kingdome in time of Peace without Consent of Parlyament and Quartering Soldiers contrary to Law.

The “Australian” government did raise forces in the “Australian Defence Forces” going outside of the Royal Law (James 2:8) and the Line of Authority afforded post Treaty of Versailles 1919 wherein the Commonwealth of Australia Defence Forces were founded out of the former Australian Imperial Forces.

The Australian Government Lie

The “Australian” Government did then use the Australian Defence Forces within the Commonwealth of Australia against Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown.

The Australian Government did intervene on Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown in the aptly named Northern Territory Intervention. This is described by Scholars as a failed exercise, yet forms the basis for the Militaries Agenda in Policing Urban and Non Urban Areas including of their own people. [30].

In 2019 Australian Defence Forces are being deployed into Western Australian and Far North Queensland Townships to help Police by supporting the State Police Forces. The “Australian” Government is now installing legislation allowing State Police to request the backup of Military to be used against their own people. [31]

Disarming Protestants, &c.

By causing severall good Subjects being Protestants to be disarmed at the same time when Papists were both Armed and Employed contrary to Law.

John Howard did effect the removal of weapons of defence from law abiding Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown disabling them from holding government accountable to its actions.

John Howard did use the unusually suspect events at Port Arthur to trigger public sentiment to give up weapons in a Gun Buy Back scheme and did eventually outlaw weapons of defence. A Subject of the Australian Imperial Crown now treated as a Citizen of the Nation State of Australia, a citizen does not even have the right to attend to intruders of one’s own property.

Those in true allegiance to the Commonwealth of Australia have been disarmed by Members of Parliament linked to Papist Orders including the Jesuit Order under the Pope at the Vatican after declaring Unam Sanctum (All that is Holy) in 1302 and then the arrest of the Knights Templar under Papal Bull *Pastoralis Praeeminentiae* 1307[37] followed by a Triple Crown in Papal Bulls *Romanus Pontifex* 1455AD[38], *Aeterni Regis* 1481[39] & *Convocation* 1537 over Land, Body and Soul.

Upon the extirpation and ex-communication of the papist faith in England the Pope did then consecrate by Papal Bull *Regimini Militantis Ecclesiae* 1540[40] giving rise to 60 members of the Society of Jesus otherwise known as the Militant Order of the Jesuits.

In 1896 the Pope issued Papal Bull *Apostolicae Curae* calling the Anglican Faith of the Commonwealth of Australia heresy and declared all Anglican Denominations to be null and void.[41]

This “Australian” Government has used Armed Soldiers against unarmed Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown. The “Australian” government has used Armed Policy

The Australian Government Lie

Enforcers of the States against unarmed Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown perpetrating a War Crime.

Violating Elections.

By Violating the Freedom of Election of Members to serve in Parlyament.

The "Australian" Government did force change in the Electoral Process of the Commonwealth of Australia. In allowing the creation of the Australian Electoral Commission, the "Australian" Government did allow process for a Two Party Preferred system going against the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

In doing this the "Australian" Government have allowed for total control of the Political Spectrum through a Two Party Preferred System wherein both parties have sought to undermine the very fabric and foundation of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and its Line of Authority in the Preamble, and Scheduled Oath.

The Australian Government continues to allow Policy Talkers to drive Party Agendas going against Constitutional Foundations.

Illegal Prosecutions.

By Prosecutions in the Court of Kings Bench for Matters and Causes cognizable onely in Parlyament and by diverse other Arbitrary and Illegall Courses.

The "Australian" government has failed in its Line of Authority through its Oath of Allegiance and has allowed the Judiciary to fall under the Executive Branches of Government. In doing so it negated to deal with a failure of Oath in the Judiciary with Judges in several states being caught not having taken the correct Oath for over thirty years. [32][33]

The Governor General of the Commonwealth of Australia is responsible under Letters Patent 1900 to hold the Great Seal of the Commonwealth of Australia and affirm the Appointments of the Judiciary.

It can be seen by comments of former Governor General of "Australia" that the Papist Religion has had an influencing factor in his remarks relating to Gough Whitlam when the former Governor General found the Gods of a foreign father and became baptised in the Papist Faith.

Despite this, Gough Whitlam once told him, "Comrade, the Catholic Church will get you in the end".

It seems he was right. Much to everyone's surprise, Mr Hayden was baptised last month at the age of 85. [34]

The Australian Government Lie

The Head of State in the Governor General under Royal Powers Act 1954 and Letters Patent 1984 under Queen Elizabeth the Second openly shows his Allegiance to a Papist Faith, as did former Governor General Peter Cosgrove a Knight of the Jesuit Order.

Juries.

And whereas of late yeares Partiall Corrupt and Unqualified Persons have beene returned and served on Juryes in Tryalls and particularly diverse Jurors in Tryalls for High Treason which were not Freeholders,

It would be difficult to state whether this “Australian” Government has allowed unqualified persons to serve on juries, it could be argued that not knowing what a Person is at law might make you unqualified.

However, this “Australian” Government has suspended the Right of Trial by Jury before the Royal Laws and replaced this with Summary Jurisdiction, a jurisdiction typical of inside the Gaol System. Former Freemen of the Colony of Van Diemens Land were offered a choice between transport to Sydney for Trial or the offer of Summary Jurisdiction by the Governor in the Prison Colony. Many free men in those days opted for Summary Jurisdiction instead of Trial due to the harsh condition of transport to Sydney.

This “Australian” Government has allowed Civil Procedure Rules in State and Federal Jurisdictions denying true process of law and a right of trial by jury. This “Australian” Government has allowed Courts of Foreign Jurisdiction to present under Coats of Arms in contradiction to the Australian Imperial Crown and the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

Operation of the Constitution and laws [see Note 3]

This Act, and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, notwithstanding anything in the laws of any State; and the laws of the Commonwealth shall be in force on all British ships, the Queen's ships of war excepted, whose first port of clearance and whose port of destination are in the Commonwealth.

By allowing Civil Procedure Rules under UNICTRL Rules via Treaties with Rome, this “Australian” Government has subverted Line of Authority in Clause 5 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and allowed Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1942 to affect Her Majesties Ships of War.

Excessive Bail.

The Australian Government Lie

And excessive Baile hath beene required of Persons committed in Criminnall Cases to elude the Benefitt of the Lawes made for the Liberty of the Subjects.

Bail Conditions have been created under Anti Terrorism laws that allow this “Australian” Government to capture by force and against will the Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown and deny their Liberties and Rights within the Realm subject to Financial Gain in Bail Conditions.

This “Australian” Government has turned Correctional Service from participation in Community Services to that of Financial Payment affecting benefit to the Government at the expense of the Community in opposition of the Laws of this Commonwealth of Australia.

Fines.

And excessive Fines have beene imposed.

ANDERSON V COMMONWEALTH (1932) 47 CLR 50

RICH AND McTIERNAN JJ. The plaintiff complained in his statement of claim that the defendant Commonwealth had entered into an agreement with the State of Queensland which relates to the production, manufacture and disposal of sugar, and that it was beyond the power of the Commonwealth to do so. He founds his right to complain upon the allegation that he is a member of the public. It is, perhaps, not ungenerous to understand this as meaning that he is a natural-born subject of the King resident in Australia who pays taxes and consumes sugar. Dixon J. considered that the plaintiff disclosed no title to maintain a suit for any relief in respect of the agreement, and exercised the jurisdiction to dismiss the action *brevi manu*. It is quite clear that his Honor's view was right. For the Executive Government to make an agreement with a State cannot be an invasion of any legal right of a citizen as such, and cannot infringe upon any legal interest which he has in virtue of his citizenship only. In the United States taxpayers have been denied the privilege of challenging the constitutionality of the appropriation of moneys by Congress (*Massachusetts v. Mellon*; *Frothingham v. Mellon* (2)). The appeal should be dismissed.

For most people this would be the largest gripe that comes out of the Legal Communities trying to work out what has happened to their beloved Commonwealth of Australia. Policy Enforcers enforce State Statutes upon Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown treating them as “Members of the Public” going against case law in Anderson v Commonwealth 1932 wherein Anderson proclaimed he was a Member of the Public so as to have a voice in the Sugar Industry and was denied.

The Australian Government Lie

Enforcing Policy of State on Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown has become a fraud and lie to the Subjects of the Australian Imperial Crown as this “Australian” Government acting as a United Nations Trust Territory manages the collection of debts from Citizens of its Nation State due to its involvement with the IMF and the United Nations after Citizenship was introduced in 1948.

To Hinder a Policy Enforcer in his duties is considered to be stopping a Creditor from collecting a Debt from the named Person. A Policy Enforcers duty is to cause a Burden of Harm on his fellow men so as to collect debts on behalf of the State.

HINDER AND DELAY. To hinder and delay is to do something which is an attempt to defraud, rather than a successful fraud; to put some obstacle in the path, or interpose some time, unjustifiably, before the creditor can realize what is owed out of his debtor's property. 42 N. Y. Super. Ct. 63.

This links directly to the former problem in the Bill of Rights that the State is raising monies for its own benefits at the expense of the people.

Punishments.

And illegall and cruell Punishments inflicted.

It goes without saying that using your own people against your own people is against the law. And we can see that this “Australian” Government through Interventions and Military Policing is only here to keep you in line so that it can enforce monies out of you.

Punishments are the use of Australians against Australians to enforce Burdens of Warfare under the form of Financial Burdens upon the people. Punishments across the board in all states and federal jurisdictions have become monetary in nature.

This “Australian” Government believes you owe its society a debt, and it has put that debt on the backs of every day working Australians through Taxations, Fines, Levies, Rates, Fees, Charges, Schedules and Bills such as Reserve Bank Notes.

This “Australian” Government treats all Australians as Debt Fodder as it takes the Fruits of a Kingdom and leaves the crumbs for its Subjects.

Grants of Fines, &c. before Conviction, &c.

And severall Grants and Promises made of Fines and Forfeitures before any Conviction or Judgement against the Persons upon whome the same were to be levied. All which are utterly directly contrary to the knowne Lawes and Statutes and Freedome of this Realme.

The Australian Government Lie

This “Australian” Government continues to erode the fabric of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act, its Oath of Allegiance and Line of Authority detailed in the Preamble.

By way of State Councils this “Australian” government has through State Governments enforced Fines, Rates, Fees, and Charges before Conviction.

By way of State Police Forces this “Australian” Government has through State Government enforced Fines, Fees, and Charges before Conviction.

By way of expectation of monetary reward in lieu of enforcement at the full force of military intervention, this “Australian” Government has enslaved the people into Debt Payments against their will.

It is therefore shown directly that this “Australian Government” and its Prime Ministers going back to at least Robert Menzies and his declarations of War in 1939 have sought to defraud the people out of their Self Determination.

It is therefore shown that John Howard is accused of the most heinous crimes to the Australian Imperial Crown and the Estates of the People, and the People of this Realm and has committed Treason, Attainted Treason, Treachery, and Fraud and deceived the people of the Commonwealth of Australia in removing their capacity to defend themselves from this type of Governance.

It is therefore shown that according to King v Casement 1917 that John Howard, and successive Prime Ministers have lied to the people for the agenda of a Faith foreign to the Line of Authority in the Preamble to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act as detailed in the Scheduled Oath of Allegiance.

It is therefore shown that John Howard has never had an Oath of Allegiance to the Commonwealth of Australia and its Line of Authority detailed in the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and is in fact the Terrorist undermining the Constitution itself in a bid to install his and his colleagues Republican ideals.

It is therefore shown that Prime Ministers since Gough Whitlam have shown their allegiance to the Papist Faith explaining the reason for attempting to undermine the Commonwealth of Australia and its Constitution.

It can be demonstrated through King v Casement 1917 and the actions of ANZAC post World War One that this “Australian” Government is not of the Commonwealth of Australia and performs Administrative Duties on behalf of the United Nations.

The Bill of Rights was put together after a Catholic Monarch was removed from England due to the actions of that Catholic St Edwards Crown upon the people of England. This had occurred multiple times in British History from the Magna Carta in 1213, to Henry VIII in 1535, and the Glorious Revolution in 1688.

The Australian Government Lie

In 1953 the people were so asleep as to what it meant, a St Edwards Crown walked in the door, even though all your laws forbid them. And today, you are so complacent as to your own rights, that you allow this foreign faith to dictate to you in your Commonwealth and impact you in ways that you now believe are the normal steps through life. You believe you live inside a Company for starters, and it orders you around. They are no longer Public Servants when you are Party to their Policies.

The Bill of Rights 1688 goes on to protect the Subjects Rights and the Australian Imperial Crown finds these rights through High Court Rules 5(a) to have direct application to the Australian Imperial Crown and Commonwealth of Australia by Constitution.

The Subject's Rights.

And thereupon the said Lords Spirituall and Temporall and Commons pursuant to their respective Letters and Elections being now assembled in a full and free Representative of this Nation takeing into their most serious Consideration the best meanes for attaining the Ends aforesaid Doe in the first place (as their Auncestors in like Case have usually done) for the Vindicating and Asserting their auintient Rights and Liberties, Declare

We can see that the Bill of Rights starts to address these grievances in the Houses of Parliament and they are put in writing as future protections against the Realm. We can also see that it is relative to Ancestry and your Forefathers as they are the ones that defined these rights and liberties.

As we have already discussed, several areas of the Realm are addressed in the Bill of Rights which are then reflected with remedies.

Dispensing Power.

That the pretended Power of Suspending of Laws or the Execution of Laws by Regall Authority without Consent of Parlyament is illegall.

Late dispensing Power.

That the pretended Power of Dispensing with Laws or the Execution of Laws by Regall Authoritie as it hath beene assumed and exercised of late is illegall.

Ecclesiastical Courts illegal.

That the Commission for erecting the late Court of Commissioners for Ecclesiasticall Causes and all other Commissions and Courts of like nature are Illegall and Pernicious.

Levying Money.

The Australian Government Lie

That levying Money for or to the Use of the Crowne by pretence of Prerogative without Grant of Parlyament for longer time or in other manner then the same is or shall be granted is Illegall.

Right to petition.

That it is the Right of the Subjects to petition the King and all Commitments and Prosecutions for such Petitioning are Illegall.

Standing Army.

That the raising or keeping a standing Army within the Kingdome in time of Peace unlesse it be with Consent of Parlyament is against Law.

Subjects' Arms.

That the Subjects which are Protestants may have Arms for their Defence suitable to their Conditions and as allowed by Law.

Freedom of Election.

That Election of Members of Parlyament ought to be free.

Freedom of Speech.

That the Freedome of Speech and Debates or Proceedings in Parlyament ought not to be impeached or questioned in any Court or Place out of Parlyament.

Excessive Bail.

That excessive Baile ought not to be required nor excessive Fines imposed nor cruell and unusuall Punishments inflicted.

Juries.

That Jurors ought to be duely impannelled and returned . . . [F1](#)

Grants of Forfeitures.

That all Grants and Promises of Fines and Forfeitures of particular persons before Conviction are illegall and void.

Frequent Parliaments.

And that for Redresse of all Grievances and for the amending strengthening and preserveing of the Lawes Parlyaments ought to be held frequently.

We can see that all these problems are addressed, and that Subjects are allowed to defend themselves, have a right to complain, a right to free speech, a right to juries and

The Australian Government Lie

to be treated fairly by judiciary, and that all fines and forfeiture of any kind are illegal and voided without any conviction which would then require a jury of one's peers.

This "Australian" Government does not want you to think that you even have the capacity to defend yourselves. It portrays that you must call the State Governments and its Councils to enact safety within your communities. We often hear police tell Civilians not to take "the law" into their own hands when civilians are acting in a capacity much higher than "the law" to start with.

This "Australian" government has removed and ignored, subjugated, and deprived you of every single right you have, as has been demonstrated by its complete disregard for the foundations in your Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

This "Australian" government is not of your Christian Heritage.

And this all centres on the Oath of Allegiance in which they have caused you to confound your own Oath to your Australian Imperial Crown.

New Oaths of Allegiance, &c.

And that the Oathes hereafter mentioned be taken by all Persons of whome the Oathes of Allegiance and Supremacy might be required by Law instead of them And that the said Oathes of Allegiance and Supremacy be abrogated.

Allegiance.

I A B doe sincerely promise and sweare That I will be faithfull and beare true Allegiance to their Majestyes King William and Queene Mary Soe helpe me God.

Supremacy.

I A B doe sweare That I doe from my Heart Abhorr, Detest and Abjure as Impious and Hereticall this damnable Doctrine and Position That Princes Excommunicated or Deprived by the Pope or any Authority of the See of Rome may be deposed or murdered by their Subjects or any other whatsoever. And I doe declare That noe Forreigne Prince Person Prelate, State or Potentate hath or ought to have any Jurisdiction Power Superiority Preeminence or Authoritie Ecclesiasticall or Spirituall within this Realme Soe helpe me God.

The Bill of Rights 1688 had to address the Oath of Allegiance after the removal of James II from England and Ireland. His actions from a Catholic Realm had caused much harm on the Subjects of the Realm and the Oath of Supremacy demonstrates this by excommunicating any relations with the Ecclesiastical See of Rome.

Within the Commonwealth of Australia we have seen Gough Whitlam speak of the Catholics through former Governor General Bill Hayden. We also see Governor General

The Australian Government Lie

Peter Cosgrove openly showing his status as a Knight of the Jesuit Order, we also had the Tony Abbot debacle of a Prime Minister handing out Knighthoods on behalf of a Jesuit Order proudly demonstrating who has taken the reigns of your Commonwealth of Australia and turned it into the Nation State of Australia tied to the British Commonwealth of Nations and United Nations losing its Status as a Sovereign Commonwealth under the blessing of Almighty God. Former Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser has been quoted to have said that the Commonwealth of Australia needs to regain its Sovereignty in relation to War Reform.

When we start to look at the Oath of Allegiance for the Commonwealth of Australia we must take into consideration the whole Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA with its intended 9 Clauses and Preamble defining its Line of Authority under the blessing of Almighty God.

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT - SCHEDULE

OATH

*I, A.B. , do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Victoria, Her heirs and successors according to law.
SO HELP ME GOD!*

AFFIRMATION

I, A.B. , do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Victoria, Her heirs and successors according to law.

(NOTE: The name of the King or Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland for the time being is to be substituted from time to time.)[35]

We can see here very clearly the Oath of Allegiance and with its Note the line of Authority in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland. We know that Queen Elizabeth the Second took Oath to Australia in Coronation becoming the Queen of Australia, and we know that she also took Oath to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

The Governor General takes an Oath to Queen Elizabeth the Second and then oversees this Oath through the Judiciary, Military, Ministers, Senators and Representatives. The Oath of Allegiance starts with the Monarch direct to the Governor General who can be shown to be outside of the Realm by way of disregarding all of its Rights.

So the question for everyone is? Do you know what your Oath of Allegiance is?

The Australian Government Lie

Is Queen Elizabeth the Second in lineage to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and its Scheduled Oath or have you confounded your Oath?

When we begin to explore how this “Australian” Government treats the Citizens of “Australia” we should start to question what they are in fact doing if the Oath that is of the Constitution has not been used, and instead a Pledge to the Laws of “Australia” are taken.[36]

Pledge 1

*From this time forward, under God,
I pledge my loyalty to Australia and its people,
whose democratic beliefs I share,
whose rights and liberties I respect, and
whose laws I will uphold and obey.*

Pledge 2

*From this time forward,
I pledge my loyalty to Australia and its people,
whose democratic beliefs I share,
whose rights and liberties I respect, and
whose laws I will uphold and obey.*

This is in direct contrast to the Scheduled Oath in the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA and should indicate to you that this “Australian” Government is not what you assumed it was. This Citizenship pledge doesn’t even equate to the Oath of Office to Queen Elizabeth the Second taken by Members of the Parliament. So how does a Citizen differ from a Parliamentarian? One only has to look up the definition of “pleb”, “plebeian”, and consider the plebiscite on same sex marriage that occurred changing the foundations of your laws without a referendum. Are you so asleep that you just don’t care about your country anymore?

The Oath of Allegiance to the Australian Imperial Crown needs to be looked at in the context of post World War One agreements and entry into the League of Nations. Sovereignty became of the Treaties in Versailles that allowed for Billy Hughes to stand up to Woodrow Wilson in relation to the League of Nations. In the actions of Billy Hughes, Commonwealth of Australia Defence Forces were formed out of the former Australian Imperial Forces and the road to self determination sealed by the actions of ANZAC during battle operations and the sacrifice of 60,000 lives.

The people’s rights have been subjugated due to the very people not knowing their own world and realm. They have given up their rights to apathy and ignorance of who they are, and in that failure, have allowed a United Nations Guardian to treat their Country as

The Australian Government Lie

a Trust Territory. The actions of the Prime Minister Robert Menzies in 1939 also need to be investigated as they led to the installation of post act agreements in the Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1942 opening the door for the Australia Act 1986 in the first place.

Maybe, it is time to investigate who we truly are?

And why this “Australian” Government has eroded the fabric of your society and drawn every drop out of its fruits. Henry VIII called them “cankerous whores”. James I explained their “witchcraft” and William III demonstrated their pure “evil” ways.

And this “Australian” Government and its links to the Papist Faith have acted in the same way, and continue to erode our way of life in a bid to turn us into a Catholic Republic like Malta in 1967 of which Queen Elizabeth II is a Knight of Malta showing her Catholic foundations and a problem with the Realm.

I want you to consider the absolute lie they have played out in front of you as a Nation in relation to Section 44 and the Oath taken to enter into Parliament in the first place and put it to you that none of them have taken the correct Oath of Allegiance and that all of them act on behalf of something foreign to the Line of Authority in your Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act July 1900 UK/PGA.

It’s up to you to be a Commonwealth, and you are letting “Australia” remove that from you, from your money, from your laws, from your very beings. The Spirit of your ANZAC is drying up because not enough tears are shed for their sacrifice.

Steven

House of Spiers

July 2019

References

[1] http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/coaca430/preamble.html

[2] <https://archive.org/details/annotatedconstit00quicuoft>

[3] http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/coaca430/s71.html

[4] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anglo-Dutch_Wars

[5] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Glorious_Revolution

[6] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commonwealth_of_England

[7] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_of_England

The Australian Government Lie

- [8] <https://www.historyonthenet.com/henry-viii-and-the-break-with-rome-timeline>
- [9] <https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/pdf/inforce/2014-05-15/act-1984-070>
- [10] <https://www.kingjamesbibleonline.org/1611-Bible/1611-King-James-Bible-Introduction.php>
- [11] <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/Will3/12-13/2>
- [12] <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/WillandMarSess2/1/2/introduction>
- [13] <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/WAJurist/2014/4.pdf>
- [14] <https://www.smh.com.au/national/death-knell-for-democracy-if-wigs-get-the-gig-howard-20090827-f05l.html>
- [15] <https://ia902504.us.archive.org/26/items/trialofsirogerc00caseuoft/trialofsirogerc00caseuoft.pdf>
- [16] [https://www.aec.gov.au/Elections/referendums/1999 Referendum Reports Statistics /1999.htm](https://www.aec.gov.au/Elections/referendums/1999%20Referendum%20Reports%20Statistics/1999.htm)
- [17] <http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/MelbULawRw/2016/4.html>
- [18] <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/dfat/treaties/1939/3.html>
- [19] http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/num_act/unraraa1944401944546/
- [20] http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/num_act/unfaaoa1944421944513/
- [21] <https://www.un.org/en/sections/history/history-united-nations/>
- [22] <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2004Q00670>
- [23] https://www.foundingdocs.gov.au/resources/transcripts/cth3i_doc_1900.pdf
- [24] <http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/AUJIHRights/2003/6.html>
- [25] <https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/unts/volume%20999/volume-999-i-14668-english.pdf>
- [26] [https://www.aph.gov.au/About Parliament/Parliamentary Departments/Parliamentary Library/pubs/BriefingBook43p/humanrightsprotection](https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/pubs/BriefingBook43p/humanrightsprotection)
- [27] <https://www.abc.net.au/am/content/2012/s3635453.htm>
- [28] <https://www.acnc.gov.au/charity/bba8a152b751b69c46e10bf717695a2d>

The Australian Government Lie

[29] <https://theconversation.com/ten-years-on-its-time-we-learned-the-lessons-from-the-failed-northern-territory-intervention-79198>

[30] <http://www.defence.gov.au/JCG/JMPU/>

[31] <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-06-28/military-call-out-powers-up-for-debate-legislation-in-parliament/9916636>

[32] <https://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/national/queensland/queensland-magistrates-took-wrong-oath-20150507-ggw5xy.html>

[33] <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-04-03/tasmanian-magistrates-not-sworn-in-correctly-for-30-years/6369774>

[34] <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-10-01/bill-hayden-explains-why-he-decided-to-be-baptised/10316846>

[35] http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/coaca430/sch1.html

[36] <https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/citizenship/ceremony/what-is-the-pledge>

Post Edit References

[37] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pastoralis_praeeminentiae

[38] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Romanus_Pontifex

[39] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aeterni_regis

[40] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regimini_militantis_Ecclesiae

[41] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostolicae_curae